ī	Î		
1	DAVID R. ZARO (BAR NO. 124334)		
2	JOSHUA A. DEL CASTILLO (BAR NO. 239015) MELISSA K. ZONNE (BAR NO. 301581)		
3	ALLEN MATKINS LECK GAMBLE MALLORY & NATSIS LLP		
4	865 South Figueroa Street, Suite 2800 Los Angeles, California 90017-2543		
5	Phone: (213) 622-5555 Fax: (213) 620-8816 E-Mail: dzaro@allenmatkins.com jdelcastillo@allenmatkins.com		
6			
7	mzonne@allenmatkins.com		
8	Attorneys for Receiver STEPHEN J. DONELL		
9	UNITED STATES	DISTRICT COURT	
10	CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
11			
12	SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION,	Case No. 5:15-CV-02387-SVW (KKx)	
13	Plaintiff,	THIRD INTERIM APPLICATION FOR PAYMENT OF FEES AND	
14	r iamum,	REIMBURSEMENT OF EXPENSES OF RECEIVER STEPHEN J. DONELL	
15	V.		
16	ROBERT YANG, et al.,	[Notice of Applications for Payment of Fees and Reimbursement of Expenses; Third Interim Application of Allen	
17		Matkins; Memorandum of Points and Authorities; Declaration of Stephen J.	
18	Defendants,	Donell; and [Proposed] Order submitted concurrently herewith]	
19	YANROB'S MEDICAL, INC., et al.,	Date: February 27, 2017	
20	Relief Defendants.	Time: 1:30 p.m. Ctrm: 10A	
21		Judge: Hon. Stephen V. Wilson	
22	Stanhan I Donall (the "Pagaiyar") the Court appointed permanent receiver		
23	Stephen J. Donell (the "Receiver"), the Court-appointed permanent receiver		
24	for Defendants Suncor Fontana, LLC, Suncor Hesperia, LLC, Suncor Care		
25	Lynwood, LLC, and their respective subsidiaries and affiliates (collectively, the		
26	"Receivership Entities" or "Entities"), hereby submits this Third Interim		
27			
28	The word "Defendant" is capitalized throughout this document in order to		
Gamble LLP	emphasize the nature of these parties.	THIRD INTERIM APPLICATION FOR	

LAW OFFICES Allen Matkins Leck Gaml Mallory & Natsis LLP

PAYMENT OF FEES OF RECEIVER

Application for Payment of Fees and Reimbursement of Expenses (the Receiver's "Application"). **The Application covers the period from July 1, 2016, through September 30, 2016** (the "Application Period").

As reflected below, during the Application Period, the Receiver and his staff spent a total of 103.6 hours working on behalf of the Receivership Entities, for fees totaling \$31,555.80. In addition, the Receiver incurred \$159.76 in expenses. In accordance with this Court's prior orders, the Receiver now requests that this Court approve 100% of the Receiver's fees and expenses incurred during the Application Period, and enter an order authorizing the Receiver to pay, on an interim basis, 90% of the all fees incurred, in the amount of \$28,400.22, and 100% of expenses incurred (\$159.76).

As reflected in the Receiver's prior submissions to the Court, during the Application Period, the Receiver made substantial progress in satisfaction of his duties as defined by the Court in its December 11, 2016 Preliminary Injunction, Order Appointing Receiver, Freezing Assets, and Providing for Other Ancillary Relief (the "Appointment Order").

Specifically, during the Application Period, the Receiver, among other things: (1) continued to administer the estates of the Receivership Entities (collectively, the "Estate"), funded via approximately \$2.4 million in cash recoveries; (2) confirmed the amount and location of nearly \$3 million in cash assets potentially available for recovery and subject to turn-over requirements of the Appointment Order, in the form of approximately \$2.5 million in cash deposits (\$2.4 million of which have been turned over, with further proceedings pending as to \$2 million of this total) and \$250,000 in investor funds paid to the Metropolitan Water District of Southern California in the pre-receivership period; (3) secured the turn-over of \$2 million of the above-referenced cash deposits from Celtic Bank Corporation ("Celtic Bank"), which funds the Receiver is holding in a separate account pending a Court determination regarding competing claims to these funds; (4) continued to develop

-2-

and undertake a marketing and disposition plan in connection with each of the real properties implicated in this matter, including via the engagement of real property brokers and the commencement of marketing efforts for all saleable properties; (5) coordinated with Celtic Bank and with GBC International Bank ("GBC Bank") regarding the abandonment of two of the real properties implicated in this matter; (6) identified two real properties determined to be "underwater," and subject to immediate abandonment, and prepared and filed a motion for authority to complete an abandonment of those properties, ultimately resulting in the Court-approved abandonment of these properties during Application Period; and (7) identified a prereceivership purchase and sale agreement ("PSA") for another real property which the Receiver determined should be abandoned, ultimately resulting in Court approval of the PSA's abandonment The Receiver further: (8) prepared and submitted his Second Quarterly Status Report (the "Second Interim Report") (Dkt No. 129); (9) continued to monitor and participate in all pending state court litigation matters affecting or relating to the Receivership Entities, and maintained the status quo in such matters; and (10) communicated with investors (and counsel for investors) in the Receivership Entities regarding the status of the receivership, issues relating to investor immigration petitions, and registration via the Receiver's website. Given the amount and nature of the work completed by the Receiver and his staff, the Receiver respectfully submits that the fees and expenses incurred and addressed herein are appropriate and, accordingly, requests that the Court enter an order approving the fees and expenses and authorizing payment of 90% (\$28,400.22) of the fees, on an interim basis, and 100% of expenses incurred (\$159.76), from the funds of the Receivership Entities. WORK PERFORMED BY THE RECEIVER. I.

During the Application Period, the Receiver and his staff undertook substantial additional efforts to understand the business and financial activities of

1

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

the Receivership Entities, investigate and recover receivership assets, develop and 1 implement property disposition plans, and otherwise administer the Estate of the Receivership Entities. Significant progress has been made, and the Receiver's efforts continue. The Receiver's previously submitted Initial Report of Receiver 4 (the "Initial Report") (Dkt. No. 20), First Quarterly Status Report (the "First Interim 5 Report") (Dkt. No. 53), and the aforementioned Second Interim Report summarize 6 the Receiver's efforts and conclusions in substantial detail. The Receiver invites the 7 8 Court and all interested parties to review those documents in conjunction with the present Application. 9

Below, the Receiver addresses the key efforts undertaken and issues addressed during the Application Period, and provides a detailed summary of the services provided and time spent by the Receiver and his staff, during the Application Period. As reflected below, the Receiver has categorized his efforts by project, property and/or Receivership Entity:

A. Suncor Fontana, LLC | 7227 Oleander Avenue.

The Receivership Entity Suncor Fontana, LLC is associated with the property located at 7227 Oleander Avenue, Fontana, California (the "Fontana Project"). As detailed in the Initial Report, the First Interim Report, and Second Interim Report, the Fontana Project is a partially constructed sub-acute / skilled nursing facility, which upon the Receiver's appointment, appeared to be approximately 45% complete.

As the Court is aware, the Receiver and his professionals have undertaken significant analysis and effort in connection with Suncor Fontana, LLC and the Fontana Project since the inception of this receivership. During the Application Period, this included evaluating contractor issues, continued work on the potential valuations for the Fontana Project, negotiations and discussions with Celtic Bank, the construction lender for the Fontana Project, and evaluating and monitoring pre-receivership litigation relating to the Fontana Project. The Receiver's analysis of the

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

1061963.04/LA

issues associated with the Fontana Project ultimately led to its Court-approved abandonment (see, e.g., Dkt. No. 115).

During the Application Period, the Receiver obtained a broker's opinion of value ("BOV") for the Fontana Project, which suggested that its value was \$2.4 million. However, he was also able to confirm that the amount owing and secured by the Fontana Project (in connection the Celtic Bank's loans) exceeded \$3 million. The Receiver also confirmed that the Fontana Project was the subject of a number of lawsuits and subject to potentially hundreds of thousands of dollars in mechanics' lien claims. As a result, the Receiver filed his Motion to Abandon the Fontana Property on July 15, 2016 (Dkt. No. 115) and was granted an order authorizing the abandonment on August 16, 2016 (Dkt. No. 133).

The below chart summarizes work performed by the Receiver relating to this Entity and Project, by task category:

<u>Task</u>	Amount
Administration – General Estate Operations	\$820.60
Administration – General Estate Operations – Property Management	\$419.70
Administration – General Estate Operations – Property Sale	\$208.20
Administration – Receivership Estate Operations	\$133.50
Financial – Accounting/Auditing	\$478.50
Financial – Status Reports	\$34.70
Financial – Tax Issues	\$104.10
Legal – Asset Analysis and Recovery	\$242.90
Legal – Asset Disposition	\$728.70
Legal – Claims Administration and Objections	\$277.60
Total	\$3,448.50
Expenses	\$34.05

B. Suncor Hesperia LLC/17577-17579 Sultana Street.

Receivership Entity Suncor Hesperia, LLC is associated with the property located at 17577-17579 Sultana Street, Hesperia, California ("Hesperia Project"). As detailed in the Initial Report, the First Interim Report, and the Second Interim Report, the Hesperia Project is undeveloped land, and was apparently intended to be developed as a sub-acute case / skilled nursing facility.

During the Application Period, the Receiver continued to evaluate invoices, claims, and debts against the Hesperia Project, conducted property inspections, and evaluated mechanic's liens and issues arising in connection with unpaid, prereceivership property taxes, in an effort to develop a disposition plan. When combined with his analysis of the secured debt associated with the Hesperia Project, the Receiver's evaluation of these matters — which was ongoing during the Application Period — resulted in the Receiver's decision in August 2016 to move for authority to abandon the Hesperia Project, ultimately culminating in the Courtapproved abandonment (Dkt No. 134). As detailed in the Second Interim Report, the Receiver determined that the Hesperia Project was worth substantially less than the secured and securable claims against it.

The below chart, summarizes work performed by the Receiver relating to this Entity and Project:

<u>Task</u>	Amount
Administration – General Estate Operations	\$312.30
Administration – General Estate Operations:	\$69.40
Property Sale	
Financial – Accounting/Auditing	\$247.50
Financial – Tax Issues	\$104.10
Legal – Asset Disposition	\$277.60
Total	\$1,010.90

-6-

Allen Matkins Leck Gamble Mallory & Natsis LLP

C. Suncor Lynwood, LLC/3598 Martin Luther King, Jr. Blvd.

Suncor Lynwood, LLC is associated with the property located at 3598 Martin Luther King, Jr. Blvd, Lynwood, California ("Lynwood Project"). As detailed in the Initial Report, the First Interim Report, and the Second Interim Report, the Lynwood Project is a vacant structure previously used as a skilled nursing facility and apparently intended to be re-developed as a sub-acute care / skilled nursing facility.

During the Application Period, the Receiver obtained a BOV that suggested the Lynwood Project could be worth \$1.5 million, or more. Thereafter, the Receiver dedicated substantial time and effort to finalizing a disposition plan for the Lynwood Project, and soliciting and managing offers to purchase the property, the highest and best of which have, as of the date of this Application, a gross value approximately \$2 million.

The below chart summarizes work performed by the Receiver relating to this **Entity and Project:**

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

1

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

<u>Task</u>	Amount		
Administration – General Estate Operations	\$173.50		
Administration – General Estate Operations: Property Management	\$1586.40		
Administration – General Estate Operations – Property Sale	\$5075.90		
Administration – General Estate Operations –	\$714.30		
Property Management Operational Issues			
Administration – Receivership Estate Operations	\$40.50		
Financial – Accounting/Auditing	\$495.00		
Legal – Asset Disposition	\$242.90		
Total	\$8,328.50		

-7-

27

D. The Mentone Property/11201 Opal Avenue.

As detailed in the Initial Report, the First Interim Report, and the Second Interim Report, the real property located at 11202 Opal Ave., Mentone, California ("Mentone Property") is presently comprised of unproductive orchard land, but sits at the center of a series of parcels of real property immediately adjacent to the City of Redlands.

As the Receiver previously reported, he has confirmed that at least \$500,000 in funds raised from investors in the Lynwood Project were diverted for the purchase of the Mentone Property. During the Application Period, the Receiver recovered and reviewed a pre-receivership PSA, which suggested that Defendants intended to develop the Mentone Property as a site for 27 single-family homes. The Receiver determined that this PSA, if performed, would subject the Estate to a number of onerous requirements, and costs in excess of \$500,000, with no guarantee of sale.

As a result, the Receiver petitioned for an order authorizing him to abandon the pre-receivership PSA and commence marketing and sale efforts anew (Dkt. No. 72). Following the filing of that motion, and during the Application Period, the Receiver continued to engage in communications with RL Communications ("RL"), with whom Defendants had contracted in the previously-defined PSA to sell the Mentone Property, relating to the possibility of amending or restructuring RL's contemplated purchase of the Mentone Property. The negotiations did not result in any revised purchase agreement. Ultimately, the Court entered an order allowing for the PSA to be abandoned (Dkt. No. 132). Following that order, the Receiver began marketing the Mentone Property for sale. As of the date of this Application, the Receiver is actively marketing the Mentone Property, and has received at least one conditional offer to purchase the property for nearly \$1 million.

-8-

///

///

The below chart summarizes work performed relating to this property:

<u>Task</u>	Amount
Administration – General Estate Operations	\$138.80
Administration – Website	\$55.00
Administration – General Estate Operations – Property Management	\$1,353.30
Administration – General Estate Operations: Property Sale	\$867.50
Administration – General Estate Operations – Property Sale Activities	\$4,142.40
Administration – Receivership Estate Operations	\$49.50
Financial – Accounting/Auditing	\$22.50
Legal – Asset Disposition	\$173.50
Total	\$6,802.50

E. Yanrob's Medical, Inc./406 Vanderbilt Way.

As detailed in the Initial Report, the First Interim Report, and the Second Interim Report, the real property located at 406 Vanderbilt Way, San Bernardino, California (the "Vanderbilt Property") is the principal place of business of Relief Defendant Yanrob's Medical, Inc. ("Yanrob"), and the location of Defendant Yang's medical practice.

The Receiver determined that Yanrob received more than \$1,000,000 in funds raised from Receivership Entity investors, some of which was used to make tenant improvements at the Vanderbilt Property. Further, during the Application Period, and through his investigation and efforts, the Receiver determined that the value of the Vanderbilt Property was approximately \$1.5 million, or roughly equal to that of an outstanding debt owed to GBC Bank and secured by the Vanderbilt Property. As a result, and throughout the Application Period, the Receiver engaged in substantial negotiations with counsel for GBC Bank, Yanrob, and other entities claiming an actual or contingent interest in the Vanderbilt Property, and worked to finalize a

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

1061963.04/LA

strategy for resolving the Estate's claim to the \$500,000 in Estate funds on deposit with GBC Bank, which funds GBC Bank had claimed represented additional collateral for the loan also secured by the Vanderbilt Property. These negotiations ultimately culminated in the Stipulation for Order Authorizing Abandonment and Sale of Real Property (Dkt. No. 136), which authorized the sale of the Vanderbilt Property to an arms-length buyer and the return of all, or a substantial portion of, the funds on deposit with GBC Bank. The Court entered an order granting the Stipulation on September 15, 2016 (Dkt. No. 137). The authorized sale has since been consummated and GBC Bank has released \$400,000 in cash to the Receiver. The below chart summarizes work performed by the Receiver relating to Yanrob and the Vanderbilt Property:

<u>Task</u>	Amount
Administration – General Estate Operations	\$27.50
Property Management	
Administration – General Estate Operations	\$228.50
Administration – General Estate Operations – Sale Efforts	\$679.60
Administration – Receivership Estate Operations	\$166.30
Financial – Accounting/Auditing	\$214.50
Legal – Asset Analysis and Recovery	\$138.80
Legal – Asset Disposition	\$1,353.30
Total	\$2,808.50

F. General Receivership Work.

During the Application Period, the Receiver also engaged in numerous general or administrative efforts on behalf of the Receivership Entities, collectively, in order to maintain the cumulative value of the Estate, coordinate with the Plaintiff Securities and Exchange Commission ("Commission"), and fulfill his reporting and

Allen Matkins Leck Gamble Mallory & Natsis LLP

other obligations.

During the Application Period, the Receiver undertook additional efforts to understand the finances of the Defendant entities and individuals. The Receiver also performed work on listing agreements relating to various properties, and performed general accounting work relating to cash turnovers and vendor services required in order to administer the Estate.

During the Application Period, the Receiver further reviewed and finalized the Second Interim Report, coordinated with counsel in connection with scheduled hearings and other Court deadlines, monitored related pending actions in San Bernardino Superior Court, maintained and updated his website relating to this receivership, and worked with vendors relating to payments and any related vendor issues. The below chart summarizes work performed by the Receiver relating to this generalized and inclusive category of work:

1061963.04/LA

<u>Task</u>	<u>Amount</u>
Administration – General Estate Operations	\$2,864.80
Administration – General Operations – Sale of Property	\$1,561.50
Administration – Investor Relations	\$27.50
Administration – Receivership Estate Operations	\$33.00
Administration – Website Operations	\$825.00
Financial – Accounting/Auditing	\$585.00
Financial – Status Reports	\$588.20
Financial – Tax Issues	\$1,388.00
Legal – Asset Analysis and Recovery	\$173.50
Legal – Asset Disposition	\$485.80
Legal – Case Administration	\$208.20

<u>Task</u>	Amount
Legal – Claims Administration and Objections	\$416.40
Total	\$9,156.90
Expenses	\$125.71

A true and correct copy of all of the Receiver's invoices for the Application Period are attached to his concurrently-filed declaration. (Declaration of Stephen J. Donell in support of the Application ["Donell Decl."], ¶ 4, Exh. A.) In his reasonable business judgment, the Receiver believes the hourly rates that he and his internal professionals charged were appropriate, given the requirements of the receivership, that every effort was made to have tasks completed at the lowest possible billing rate, and that the total fees for which he seeks approval are fair and reasonable. (Donell Decl., ¶¶ 3-4.) The Receiver has also prepared a Standardized Fund Accounting Report for the Estate of the Receivership Entities in accordance with the Commission's billing requirements, summarizing the Receiver's collections, disbursements, and financial activities on behalf of the Receivership Entities and the Estate. (Donell Decl., ¶ 5, Exh. B.)

II. SUMMARY OF RECEIVER'S FEES.

A. Summary Of Fees By Category Of Work.

The total fees of \$31,555.80 incurred by the Receiver from July 1, 2016 through September 30, 2016, can be broken down into the following categories of work:

<u>Task</u>	<u>Amount</u>	<u>Percentage</u>
Administration – General Estate		
Operations	\$4,538,50	14.3825%
Administration – General Estate		
Operations – Sales Efforts	\$679.60	2.1536%
Administration – General Estate		
Operations – Property Management	\$3,386.90	10.7331%
Administration – General Estate		
Operations: Property Sale	\$7.782.50	24.6627%

LAW OFFICES Allen Matkins Leck Gamble Mallory & Natsis LLP

1	Task	Amount	Percentage
2	Administration – General Operations: Property Sale Activities	\$4,142.40	13.1272%
3	Administration – General Estate	\$4,142.40	13.127270
4	Operations – Property Management Operational Issues	\$714.30	2.2636%
5	Administration – Investor Relations	\$27.50	0.0871%
6	Administration – Receivership Estate Operations	\$422.80	1.3398%
7	Administration – Website	\$880.00	2.7887%
8	Financial Status Reports	\$622.90	1.9740%
ð	Financial – Accounting/Auditing	\$2,043.00	6.4742%
9	Financial Tax Issues	\$1,596.20	5.0583%
10	Legal – Asset Analysis and Recovery	\$555.20	1.7594%
11	Legal – Asset Disposition	\$3,261.80	10.3366%
	Legal – Case Administration	\$208.20	0.6598%
12 13	Legal – Claims Administration and Objections	\$694.00	2.1993%
13	Subtotal	\$31,555.80	
14	Fee/Expense Reduction	(\$3,155.58)	
15	Final Discounted Total	\$28,400.22	

III. CONCLUSION.

Total Expenses

As reflected above, the Receiver has determined, in his business judgment, that the total fees incurred during the Application Period were reasonable.

Accordingly, the Receiver respectfully requests that the Court enter an Order approving the \$31,555.58 for his fees incurred during the Application Period,

111 23

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

111 24

/// 25

 $\parallel \parallel$ 26

 $\parallel \parallel$ 27

111 28

1061963.04/LA

\$159.76

1	and authorizing the payment, on an interim basis, of 90% of said fees incurred,	
2	in the amount of \$28,400.22, and 100% of his expenses, in the amount of	
3	\$159.76.	
4		
5	Dated: January 11, 2017	ALLEN MATKINS LECK GAMBLE MALLORY & NATSIS LLP
6		DAVID R. ZARO JOSHUA A. DEL CASTILLO
7		MELISSA K. ZONNE
8		By: /s/ Joshua A. del Castillo
9		JOSHUA A. DEL CASTILLO Attorneys for Receiver
10		Attorneys for Receiver STEPHEN J. DONELL
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
O		THIRD INTERIM APPLICATION FOR

LAW OFFICES

Allen Matkins Leck Gamble
Mallory & Natsis LLP

1061963.04/LA

PROOF OF SERVICE 1 Securities and Exchange Commission v. Robert Yang, Suncor Fontana, et al. 2 USDC, Central District of California - Case No. 5:15-cv-02387-SVW (KKx) 3 I am employed in the County of Los Angeles, State of California. I am over 4 the age of 18 and not a party to the within action. My business address is 865 S. Figueroa Street, Suite 2800, Los Angeles, California 90017-2543. 5 6 A true and correct copy of the foregoing document(s) described below will be served in the manner indicated below: 7 THIRD INTERIM APPLICATION FOR PAYMENT OF FEES AND 8 REIMBURSEMENT OF EXPENSES OF 9 RECEIVER, STEPHEN J. DONELL 10 TO BE SERVED BY THE COURT VIA NOTICE OF ELECTRONIC 1. **FILING** ("NEF") – the above-described document will be served by the Court 11 via NEF. On January 11, 2017, I reviewed the CM/ECF Mailing Info For A 12 Case for this case and determined that the following person(s) are on the Electronic Mail Notice List to receive NEF transmission at the email 13 address(es) indicated below: 14 **Zachary T. Carlyle** 15 carlylez@sec.gov,kasperg@sec.gov,karpeli@sec.gov, blomgrene@sec.gov,pinkstonm@sec.gov,NesvigN@sec.gov 16 • Stephen J. Donell 17 idelcastillo@allenmatkins.com • Mark T. Hiraide 18 mth@msk.com,kjue@phlcorplaw.com, 19 hitabashi@phlcorplaw.com,eganous@phlcorplaw.com 20 • Leslie J. Hughes hughesLJ@sec.gov,kasperg@sec.gov,pinkstonm@sec.gov, 21 nesvign@sec.gov 22 • George D. Straggas George.straggas@straggasdean.com;sarah.borghese@straggasdean.com, 23 eric.dean@straggasdean.com 24 David J. Van Havermaat 25 vanhavermaatd@sec.gov,larofiling@sec.gov,berryj@sec.vog, irwinma@sec.gov 26 Joshua Andrew del Castillo 27 jdelcastillo@allenmatkins.com 28

- 1 -

1032549.42/LA

1 David R Zaro dzaro@allenmatkins.com 2 3 SERVED BY U.S. MAIL OR OVERNIGHT MAIL (indicate method for 2. 4 each person or entity served): On _____, I served the following person(s) and/or entity(ies) in this case by placing a true and correct copy thereof in a 5 sealed envelope(s) addressed as indicated below. I am readily familiar with 6 this firm's practice of collection and processing correspondence for mailing. Under that practice it is deposited with the U.S. postal service on that same day 7 in the ordinary course of business. I am aware that on motion for party served, 8 service is presumed invalid if postal cancellation date or postage meter date is more than 1 (one) day after date of deposit for mailing in affidavit. Or, I 9 deposited in a box or other facility regularly maintained by FedEx, or delivered 10 to a courier or driver authorized by said express service carrier to receive documents, a true copy of the foregoing document(s) in sealed envelopes or 11 packages designated by the express service carrier, addressed as indicated 12 above on the above-mentioned date, with fees for overnight delivery paid or provided for. 13 14 15 I declare that I am employed in the office of a member of the Bar of this Court at whose direction the service was made. I declare under penalty of perjury under the 16 laws of the United States of America that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed on January 11, 2017 at Los Angeles, California. 17 18 /s/Martha Diaz 19 Martha Diaz 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28

1032549.42/LA