1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9		DISTRICT COURT
10	CENTRAL DISTRIC	CT OF CALIFORNIA
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION, Plaintiff, v. ROBERT YANG, et al., Defendants, YANROB'S MEDICAL, INC., et al., Relief Defendants.	Case No. 5:15-CV-02387-SVW (KKx) NOTICE OF MOTION AND MOTION OF RECEIVER, STEPHEN J. DONELL, FOR ORDER APPROVING: (1) SALE OF LYNWOOD PROJECT; (2) OVERBID PROCEDURES; AND (3) REAL ESTATE BROKER'S COMMISSION; MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES IN SUPPORT THEREOF [Declaration of Stephen J. Donell; and [Proposed] Order submitted concurrently herewith] Date: September 11, 2017 Time: 1:30 p.m. Ctrm: 10A Judge: Hon. Stephen V. Wilson
22		1
23		
24 25		
26 26		
27		
28		
LAW OFFICES Allen Matkins Leck Gamble Mallory & Natsis LLP	1085679.04/LA	NOTICE OF MOTION AND MOTION FOR ORDER APPROVING SALE

1 **TO ALL INTERESTED PARTIES:**

LAW OFFICES

2 **PLEASE TAKE NOTICE** that on September 11, 2017, at 1:30 p.m. in Courtroom 10A of the above-entitled Court, located at 350 W. 1st Street, Los 3 Angeles, California 90012, Stephen J. Donell (the "Receiver"), the Court-appointed 4 permanent receiver for Defendants Suncor Lynwood, LLC, Suncor Fontana, LLC, 5 Suncor Hesperia, LLC, Suncor Care Lynwood, LLC, and their respective 6 subsidiaries and affiliates (collectively, "Receivership Entities"), will and hereby 7 8 does move the Court for an Order Approving: (1) Sale of the Lynwood Project; (2) Overbid Procedures; and (3) Real Estate Broker's Commission. Pursuant to the 9 10 overbid procedures addressed herein, should an auction for the Receivership Entity asset commonly known as the "Lynwood Project" occur at the hearing on this 11 Motion, the Receiver will further request the Court to approve the sale of the 12 Lynwood Project to the highest bidder at the conclusion of the auction. 13

14 This Motion is based on this Notice of Motion and Motion, the attached 15 Memorandum of Points and Authorities, the supporting Declaration of Stephen J. Donell, the documents and pleadings already on file in this action, and upon such 16 further oral and documentary evidence as may be presented at the time of the 17 hearing. 18

19 This Motion is made following the conference of counsel pursuant to L.R. 207-3, which was initiated on July 25, 2017. Dated: August 7, 2017 ALLEN MATKINS LECK GAMBLE 21

MALLORY & NATSIS LLP DAVID R. ZARO 22 JOSHUA A. DEL CASTILLO 23 By: /s/ Joshua A. del Castillo 24 JOSHUA A. DEL CASTILLO 25 Attorneys for Court-appointed Receiver STEPHEN J. DONELL 26 27 28 Allen Matkins Leck Gamble Mallory & Natsis LLP NOTICE OF MOTION AND MOTION FOR 1085679.04/LA ORDER APPROVING SALE

TABLE OF CONTENTS

o ge

2			Page
3	I.	INTRODUCTION	6
4	II.	RELEVANT FACTS	7
5		A. Background of Property	7
6		B. The Receiver's Marketing Efforts	7
7	III.	PURCHASE AND SALE AGREEMENT	9
8	IV.	PROPOSED OVERBID PROCEDURES	.10
9	V.	BROKER'S COMMISSION	.11
10	VI.	NOTICE OF THE PROPOSED SALE	.12
11	VII.	ARGUMENT	.13
12		A. The Sale Subject to Overbid Should Be Approved	.14
13		B. Further Notices/Appraisals Should Be Waived	.16
14		C. Additional Relief	.17
15	VIII.	. CONCLUSION	.17
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			
LAW OFFICES Allen Matkins Leck Gamble Mallory & Natsis LLP		NOTICE OF MOTION AND MOTION ORDER APPROVING	

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

Page(s)

2	Page(s)
3	Cases
4	Beet Growers Sugar Co. v. Columbia Trust Co., 3 F.2d 755 (9th Cir. 1925)14
5 6	Blakely Airport Joint Venture II v. Federal Sav. and Loan Ins. Corp., 678 F. Supp. 154 (N.D. Tex. 1988)
7	Commodities Futures Trading Comm'n. v. Topworth Int'l, Ltd., 205 F.3d 1107 (9th Cir. 1999)13
8 9	<i>First Nat'l Bank v. Shedd</i> , 121 U.S. 74 (1887)14
10	Gockstetter v. Williams, 9 F.2d 354 (9th Cir. 1925)15
11 12	Keybank Nat'l Ass'n v. Perkins Rowe Assocs., L.L.C., 2012 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 157828 (M.D. La. 2012)
	Miners' Bank of Wilkes-Barre v. Acker, 66 F.2d 850 (2d Cir. 1933)14
14 15	<i>SEC v. American Capital Invest., Inc.,</i> 98 F.3d 1133 (9th Cir. 1996), <i>cert. denied</i> 520 U.S. 1185
16	SEC v. Capital Consultants, LLC, 397 F.3d 733 (9th Cir. 2005)
17 18	SEC v. Elliott 953 F.2d 1560 (11th Cir. 1992)13, 14
19	<i>SEC v. Hardy</i> , 803 F.2d 1034 (9th Cir 1986)13
20 21	<i>SEC v. Wencke</i> , 622 F.2d 1363 (9th Cir. 1980)
22	<i>U.S. v. Heasley</i> , 283 F.2d 422 (8th Cir. 1960)
23	U.S. v. Little,
24 25	2008 Ú.S. Dist. LEXIS 93467 (E.D. Cal. 2008)
26	777 F.2d 1294 (7th Cir. 1985)
27	28 U.S.C. § 2001
LAW OFFICES Allen Matkins Leck Gamble Mallory & Natsis LLP	28 U.S.C. § 2001(a)

1	<u>Pa</u>	ige(s)
2	28 U.S.C. § 2001(b)	16
3	28 U.S.C. § 2002	17
4	Rules	
5	Local Rule 66-7	17
б	Treatises	
7	2 Ralph Ewing Clark, <i>Treatise on Law & Practice of Receivers</i> § 482 (3d ed. 1992)	14
8 9	2 Ralph Ewing Clark, <i>Treatise on Law & Practice of Receivers</i> § 500 (3d ed. 1992)	
10	2 Ralph Ewing Clark, <i>Treatise on Law and Practice of Receivers</i> § 342 (3d ed. 1992)	15
11 12	2 Ralph Ewing Clark, Treatise on Law and Practice of Receivers § 344 (3d ed. 1992)	
13	2 Ralph Ewing Clark, <i>Treatise on Law and Practice of Receivers</i> § 482(a)	
14	(3d ed. 1992)	15
15	2 Ralph Ewing Clark, <i>Treatise on Law and Practice of Receivers</i> § 487 (3d ed. 1992)14	, 15
16 17	2 Ralph Ewing Clark, Treatise on Law and Practice of Receivers § 489 (3d ed. 1992)	15
18	2 Ralph Ewing Clark, <i>Treatise on Law and Practice of Receivers</i> § 491 (3d ed. 1992)	15
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
LAW OFFICES Allen Matkins Leck Gamble Mallory & Natsis LLP	NOTICE OF MOTION AND MOTIO ORDER APPROVING	

1

MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES

2 I. <u>INTRODUCTION.</u>

3 Pursuant to this Court's December 11, 2015 Preliminary Injunction, Order Appointing Receiver, Freezing Assets, and Providing for Other Ancillary Relief (the 4 5 "Appointment Order"), the Receiver has taken possession of and assumed authority and control over all assets of the Receivership Entities, including the real property 6 7 and improvements located at 3599 Norton Avenue, Lynwood, California 90262 (aka 8 3598 Martin Luther King, Jr. Boulevard, Lynwood, California 90262) (the "Lynwood Project" or the "Property")¹. The Receiver moves this Court for an order 9 authorizing him to sell the Lynwood Project, on the terms generally described below 10 and more specifically in that Purchase and Sale Agreement and Joint Escrow 11 Instructions, dated June 5, 2017 (the "PSA"). A true and correct copy of the PSA is 12 13 attached as **Exhibit 1** to the concurrently filed Declaration of Stephen J. Donell ("Donell Declaration" or "Donell Decl."). 14

As permitted by the Appointment Order, the Receiver engaged Lee & 15 Associates (the "Broker") as his real property broker for the purposes of marketing 16 and selling the Lynwood Project. Since engaging the Broker, the Receiver and 17 Broker have worked diligently to market the Property through commercially 18 19 reasonable and customary channels, including, but not limited to, specifically 20 targeting buyers reasonably believed to be interested or specializing in the purchase 21 of real properties like the Property, which originally functioned as a skilled nursing facility and was apparently intended by the Defendants and the Receivership 22 23 Entities to be developed as a so-called sub-acute care facility. As a result of extensive marketing efforts, the Receiver has secured a ready, willing and able 24 25 buyer, INI Investment Corporation (the "Buyer"), and accepted an offer from Buyer to purchase the Property for Two Million One Hundred Ten Thousand and No/100 26 27

27

Parcel No. 6191-016-021.

Dollars (\$2,110,000.00) (the "Purchase Price"), on an "AS IS, WHERE IS" basis,
 subject to the PSA. The Buyer's offer is the highest and best offer received at the
 conclusion of widespread and focused marketing efforts, and after providing
 prospective purchasers with initial summaries of the Property, opportunities to tour
 the Property, and other information relevant to their diligence and purchase
 decisions.

Based upon the present facts and circumstances, and absent an overbid, the
Receiver believes the Purchase Price is the best price attainable for the Lynwood
Project and respectfully requests the Court grant this Motion and approve: (1) the
sale of the Property (either to the Buyer or the successful overbidder) pursuant to the
terms of the PSA; (2) the proposed overbid procedures described herein; and
(3) payment of Broker's commission.

13 II. <u>RELEVANT FACTS.</u>

14

A. Background of Property.

Suncor Lynwood, LLC purchased the Property with funds raised from 15 investors. (See Donell Decl. ¶3.) The Property includes a vacant structure 16 17 previously used as a skilled nursing facility. (Id.) The Property was apparently intended to be re-developed as a so-called sub-acute care or skilled nursing facility. 18 (Id.) A Broker's Opinion of Value ("BOV") obtained by the Receiver indicates that 19 20 the Property has a value in the range of 1.5 million and 2 million. Id. at 4. As 21 of the date of this Motion, the Broker has received a total of 14 arms-length offers to purchase the Property. Buyer's offer reflects the highest and best offer received to 22 date and is expected to net approximately \$1.5 million for the estate of the 23 Receivership Entities, after the payment of outstanding property taxes, commission, 24 25 and other fees. (Id. at \P 5.)

26

B. <u>The Receiver's Marketing Efforts.</u>

27 The Receiver, his staff, and the Broker have diligently marketed the Property28 through commercially reasonable and customary channels and successfully

generated a significant amount of interest in the Property through their efforts.
 Specifically, since the Broker was engaged, he has developed and undertaken a
 marketing campaign for the Property that, while widespread, was also focused on
 ensuring that the Property was offered to prospective buyers in the specific markets
 where the Property would likely be most valued. To that end, the Receiver and the
 Broker:

7 Prepared and uploaded marketing materials to the Lee & Associates • 8 website; 9 Presented the Property for sale to appropriate agents at bi-monthly 10 meetings; 11 Developed a unique database of approximately five-hundred (500) 12 potential buyers and real property investors with a demonstrated 13 interest in assets similar to the Lynwood Project; 14 Delivered targeted marketing materials to owners and developers of skilled nursing and sub-acute care facilities, and delivered hard copies 15 of these materials to all interested brokers and principals; 16 17 Uploaded marketing materials developed specifically for the Lynwood project to a number of third party websites regularly used to advertise 18 19 similar properties, including "Loopnet," "Xceligent," "AIR," and 20"Costar." 21 (Donell Decl. \P 6.) 22 In addition to the above, the Receiver assembled relevant materials and populated a virtual "data room" containing all of those records he has recovered that 23 he believed would be relevant to prospective purchasers of the Property for due 24 diligence purposes², and offered to provide access to all comers after securing 25

26 customary non-disclosure agreements. (Id. at \P 7.)

^{28 &}lt;sup>2</sup> Even though this is an "As Is-Where Is" sale, the Receiver populated the virtual data room with a significant amount of due diligence materials relating to the

1 As noted above, and as a result the above-described marketing and sale efforts, the Receiver received 14 offers to purchase the Property. After due 2 3 diligence and negotiations among the offerors, several parties each submitted their highest and best offers. (Donell Decl. ¶ 5.) Buyer's offer was the highest and best 4 qualified offer received and the Receiver accepted its offer, subject to performance 5 of the requirements in the PSA and Court approval. (Id., Ex. 1.) Other parties have 6 7 expressed interest in participating in the overbid process. (Id.)

8 III. PURCHASE AND SALE AGREEMENT

9 A copy of the PSA for the sale to Buyer is attached as **Exhibit 1** to the Donell Declaration. Its terms are summarized as follows:³ 10

11 **Court Approval.** All aspects of the Purchase and Sale Agreement and the 12 sale are subject to approval by the Court.

13 **Purchase Price.** \$2,110,000. The estimated net proceeds to the receivership 14 estate after payment of the proposed total broker commission of 5% of the Purchase Price, plus escrow tax proration and other costs, will be approximately \$1.5 million. 15

16 **Closing Date.** The closing of the sale of the Property shall occur thirty (30) 17 days after the Court approves the Sale Motion.

Deposit. Buyer has deposited \$210,000.00 (the "Deposit") into Escrow. This 18 19 reflects a non-refundable deposit to be applied to the Purchase Price, subject to the 20 overbid procedures and other terms set forth in the PSA.

21 As Is/Where Is Purchase. Buyer agrees to purchase the Property on an "AS-IS, WHERE-IS" basis, with no representations or warranties made by the Receiver, 22 his professionals, or the Receivership Entities. 23

- 24
- 25

²⁶

Lynwood Project in order to permit prospective buyers as comprehensive an understanding of the Property as possible. (Donell Decl. \P ____.) The terms of the Purchase and Sale Agreement are summarized herein for convenience only. In the event of any conflict between the Purchase and Sale Agreement and the summary provided herein or any ambiguity as to the language used herein, the Purchase and Agreement shall govern and control. 27 28

Buyer's Representations and Warranties. Buyer represents and warrants
 that it is qualified and capable of closing the purchase and sale transaction.

Overbid Procedures. The sale is subject to the proposed overbid procedures
detailed in the PSA and Section IV below. Pursuant to these procedures, if Buyer is
not the highest qualified bidder at the auction, its Deposit will be returned.

6 IV. PROPOSED OVERBID PROCEDURES

7

The Receiver requests that the following overbid procedures be approved:

8 (a) **Qualified Bidders.** All those appearing to bid at the auction must be 9 Qualified Bidders as described herein. A Qualified Bidder is a prospective purchaser who: (i) provides a fully executed purchase and sale agreement for the 10 Property in a form substantially similar to the Purchase and Sale Agreement; and 11 12 (ii) provides an earnest money deposit by wire transfer or cashier's check in the amount of 10% of the Purchase Price payable to the Receiver, which amount shall 13 14 be non-refundable to the Qualified Bidder with the highest and best bid at the auction if for any reason (a) the highest and best bidder fails to timely close the sale 15 or (b) the highest and best bidder fails to provide the balance of the purchase price to 16 17 the Receiver one day prior to the Closing Date. Buyer is a Qualified Bidder. Each Qualified Bidder must provide the above-described executed PSA and earnest 18 19 money deposit to the Receiver no later than 7 business days before the hearing date 20 on the instant motion.

21 (b) **The Auction Process.** Qualified Bidders shall appear at the hearing/auction in person, or through a duly authorized representative. At that 22 hearing, the Court, or at the Court's request, the Receiver, will conduct an auction of 23 the Property among any Qualified Bidders. The highest and best bidder's deposit 24 shall be applied to the purchase price, if the sale is approved by the Court. The 25 initial overbid shall be no less than one hundred and one percent (101%) of the 26 27 Purchase Price, or at least \$2,131,100.00 ("Initial Overbid"). Subsequent overbids shall be in increments of at least \$10,000.00. If no Qualified Bidder submits a bid in 28

the amount of the Initial Overbid or higher, the PSA will be submitted to the Court
 for approval in its current form. The Court may reject any and all bids following
 conclusion of the auction.

4 (c) **Due Diligence.** All prospective bidders shall have had the opportunity to
5 inspect the Property and any documentation relating thereto prior to the auction.

6 (d) No Contingencies. The sale to any Qualified Bidder shall not be subject
7 to any contingencies, including without limitation, for financing, due diligence or
8 inspection.

9 (e) As Is/Where Is Purchase. The sale to any Qualified Bidder shall be on
10 an "AS-IS, WHERE-IS" basis as described in the Purchase and Sale Agreement.

These procedures were formulated by the Receiver with the goal of obtaining
the highest and best price for the Property, thus ensuring a maximum return to the
receivership estate.

14 **V. BR**

BROKER'S COMMISSION

15 By separate agreement, the Receiver has agreed, subject to Court approval, to pay Broker and Bestway Realty a total commission of 5% of the final purchase 16 17 price. (Donell Decl. ¶ 8.) Pursuant to that agreement, Broker shall be solely responsible for and will compensate cooperating and referring brokers, and thus will 18 19 split the commission as appropriate. (Id.) Based on the Receiver's extensive 20 experience in real estate transactions, the amount of the commission is commercially 21 reasonable, and was fully negotiated by the Receiver after reviewing proposals from five other qualified brokers. (Id.) 22

22

As explained above, since its engagement, the Broker has invested substantial time in assisting the Receiver with the preparation of marketing materials for the Property, locating potential purchasers, marketing the Property to them, and negotiating terms. In addition, since the PSA with Buyer was signed, the Broker has continued to market the Property and provide notice of the opportunity to overbid in an effort to promote active overbidding at the auction. Based on the Receiver's

-11-

extensive experience in real estate transactions, and in light of the challenges
 presented, the amount of the commission to the Broker represents commercially
 reasonable compensation for the work required in order to secure a serious buyer
 and prospective overbidders for the Property.

5

VI. NOTICE OF THE PROPOSED SALE

6 The Receiver's counsel is concurrently serving this Motion by mail on all
7 parties to the action who do not already receive electronic service, and by electronic
8 means on all known parties with potential interest in purchasing the Property. The
9 Receiver is also posting a copy of this Motion on the receivership website,
10 www.fedreceiver.com, accompanied by the following notice of the proposed sale

11 and the opportunity to overbid at the hearing:

12 In the action pending in U.S. District Court for the Central District of California, Case No. CV-02387-SVW(KKx), 13 SEC v. Robert Yang, et al., notice is hereby given that the court-appointed Receiver has contracted to sell the real 14 property located at 3599 Norton Avenue, (aka-3598 15 Martin Luther King, Jr. Boulevard), Lynwood, California along with the related facilities and personal property 16 commonly known as the Lynwood Project for the amount 17 of \$2,110,000.00. Sale is subject to overbid and Court confirmation. The hearing is set for September 11, 2017 18 at 1:30 p.m., courtroom of the Honorable Stephen V. 19 Wilson(courtroom 10A), United States Courthouse, 350 20W. 1st Street, Los Angeles, California 90012. The minimum initial overbid is \$2,131,100.00. Subsequent 21 overbids shall be in increments of at least \$10,000.00. A 22 fully executed purchase and sale agreement in a form acceptable to the Receiver and an earnest money deposit 23 in an amount equal to ten percent (10%) of the purchase 24 price must be received by 5:00 p.m. Pacific Standard Time, at least 7 business days before the scheduled 25 hearing, by the Receiver at FedReceiver, Inc., c/o Stephen 26 J. Donell, CCIM, CPM, 12121 Wilshire Blvd., Suite 1120, Los Angeles, CA 90025, in order to be considered. In 27 addition, to be considered a qualified bidder, a prospective 28 purchaser must abide by the overbid procedures as set

1 2 3 4	forth in the sale motion, a copy of which is available on this website. If interested in submitting an overbid, please contact the Broker, Matthew Sullivan, Lee & Associates, at 213-623-0800 or at <u>matthew.sullivan@lee-</u> <u>associates.com</u> for the form of Purchase and Sale	
5	Agreement and other information.	
6	VII. <u>ARGUMENT</u>	
7	"The power of a district court to impose a receivership or grant other forms of	
8	ancillary relief does not in the first instance depend on a statutory grant of power	
8 9	from the securities laws. Rather, the authority derives from the inherent power of a	
9 10	court of equity to fashion effective relief." SEC v. Wencke, 622 F.2d 1363, 1369	
10	(9th Cir. 1980). The "primary purpose of equity receiverships is to promote orderly	
11	and efficient administration of the estate by the district court for the benefit of	
12	creditors." SEC v. Hardy, 803 F.2d 1034, 1038 (9th Cir 1986). As the appointment	
13 14	of a receiver is authorized by the broad equitable powers of the court, any	
14	distribution of assets must also be done equitably and fairly. See SEC v. Elliott,	
15	953 F.2d 1560, 1569 (11th Cir. 1992).	
17	District courts have the broad power of a court of equity to determine the	
17	appropriate action in the administration and supervision of an equity receivership.	
10	See SEC v. Capital Consultants, LLC, 397 F.3d 733, 738 (9th Cir. 2005). The Ninth	
20	Circuit explained:	
20 21	A district court's power to supervise an equity receivership and to determine the appropriate action to be	
21	taken in the administration of the receivership is extremely broad. The district court has broad powers and wide	
22	discretion to determine the appropriate relief in an equity receivership. The basis for this broad deference to the	
23 24	district court's supervisory role in equity receiverships arises out of the fact that most receiverships involve	
2 4 25	multiple parties and complex transactions. A district court's decision concerning the supervision of an equitable	
25 26	receivership is reviewed for abuse of discretion.	
20 27	Id. (citations omitted); see also CFTC v. Topworth Int'l, Ltd., 205 F.3d 1107, 1115	
27	(9th Cir. 1999) ("This court affords 'broad deference' to the court's supervisory role,	
20	1085679.04/LA NOTICE OF MOTION AND MOTION FOR -13-	

and 'we generally uphold reasonable procedures instituted by the district court that
 serve th[e] purpose' of orderly and efficient administration of the receivership for
 the benefit of creditors.").

Accordingly, this Court has broad equitable powers and discretion in
formulating procedures, schedules and guidelines for administration of the
receivership estate and disposition of receivership assets.

7

A. <u>The Sale Subject to Overbid Should Be Approved</u>

8 It is generally conceded that a court of equity having custody and control of property has power to order a sale of the same in its discretion. See, e.g., Elliott, 9 953 F.2d at 1566 (11th Cir. 1992) (finding that the District Court has broad powers 10 and wide discretion to determine relief in an equity receivership). "The power of 11 sale necessarily follows the power to take possession and control of and to preserve 12 property." See also SEC v. Am. Capital Invest., Inc., 98 F.3d 1133, 1144 (9th Cir. 13 1996), cert. denied 520 U.S. 1185 (decision abrogated on other grounds) (citing 14 2 Ralph Ewing Clark, Treatise on Law & Practice of Receivers § 482 (3d ed. 1992) 15 16 (citing *First Nat'l Bank v. Shedd*, 121 U.S. 74, 87 (1887)). "When a court of equity orders property in its custody to be sold, the court itself as vendor confirms the title 17 in the purchaser." 2 Ralph Ewing Clark, Treatise on Law and Practice of Receivers 18 19 § 487).

20 "A court of equity, under proper circumstances, has the power to order a receiver to sell property free and clear of all encumbrances." Miners' Bank of 21 22 Wilkes-Barre v. Acker, 66 F.2d 850, 853 (2d Cir. 1933). See also, 2 Ralph Ewing Clark, Treatise on Law & Practice of Receivers § 500. To that end, a federal court 23 is not limited or deprived of any of its equity powers by state statute. Beet Growers 24 25 Sugar Co. v. Columbia Trust Co., 3 F.2d 755, 757 (9th Cir. 1925) (state statute allowing time to redeem property after a foreclosure sale not applicable in a 26 27 receivership sale).

1 Generally, when a court-appointed receiver is involved, the receiver, as agent 2 for the court, should conduct the sale of the receivership property. Blakely Airport 3 Joint Venture II v. Fed. Sav. and Loan Ins. Corp., 678 F. Supp. 154, 156 (N.D. Tex. 1988). A receiver's sale conveys "good" equitable title enforced by an injunction 4 against the owner and against parties to the suit. See 2 Ralph Ewing Clark, Treatise 5 on Law and Practice of Receivers §§ 342, 344, 482(a), 487, 489, 491. "In 6 7 authorizing the sale of property by receivers, courts of equity are vested with broad 8 discretion as to price and terms." Gockstetter v. Williams, 9 F.2d 354, 357 (9th Cir. 1925). 9

10 Here, the proposed sale to the Buyer for \$2,110,000.00, subject to the proposed overbid procedures, should be approved. In particular, the proposed 11 12 overbid procedures are designed to (a) induce Buyer to remain in place as the initial, or "stalking horse" bidder; (b) allow qualified bidders to overbid; and (c) generate 13 the highest and best price for the Property. The proposed Purchase Price is 14 sufficient to make the net proceeds to the estate (after payment of the Broker's 15 commission, and estimated escrow, closing and repair costs) approximately 16 17 \$1.5 million. The subsequent bid increments of \$10,000.00 for each subsequent overbid is sufficient to ensure an orderly and efficient auction at the hearing. The 18 19 Receiver submits that these amounts are reasonable and fair to all interested parties. 20 The Receiver believes, as discussed herein, given (a) the issues impacting the 21 Property and (b) the relevant and current comparable sales, that the Purchase Price and terms of the proposed sale to Buyer, negotiated at arm's length and secured 22 through the commercially reasonable and customary channels of marketing the 23 Property with an experienced broker, reflect the fair market value of the Property. 24 In addition, and as explained above, the Receiver and the Broker have diligently 25 marketed the Property for sale through commercially reasonable and customary 26 27 channels, resulting in serious interest generated among 14 prospective purchasers. From these parties, several competing offers were submitted, and a second round of 28

offers was solicited, ultimately resulting in the highest and best offer submitted by
 Buyer in the amount of \$2,110,000.00, which exceeds the estimated fair market
 value of the Property as reflected in a BOV commissioned by the Receiver.
 Accordingly, the Receiver believes, in his reasonable business judgment, that the
 proposed sale to Buyer, subject to overbid, is fair and reasonable, in the best
 interests of the receivership estate, and will generate the highest and best value for
 the Property. (Id. at ¶ 9.)

8 To ensure the highest and best price is obtained from sale of the Property, the
9 proposed sale to Buyer is subject to overbid, by potential purchasers that qualify
10 themselves as Qualified Bidders. The Receiver, with the assistance of Broker, has
11 continued to market the Property with the goal of promoting active overbidding in
12 accordance with the proposed overbid procedures described herein.

13

B. <u>Further Notices/Appraisals Should Be Waived</u>

14 Sales of real property out of federal receivership are governed by 28 U.S.C. 15 § 2001, which provides that notice shall be given "by publication or otherwise as the court directs" 28 U.S.C. § 2001(b). Thus, "[t]he statute on its face vests the 16 17 court with discretion in directing the terms and conditions of the public sale." Keybank Nat'l Ass'n v. Perkins Rowe Assocs., LLC, 2012 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 18 19 157828, *4 (M.D. La. 2012); see also U.S. v. Little, 2008 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 93467, 20 *4-5 (E.D. Cal. 2008) (finding that "[t]he Court has broad discretion in setting the 21 terms and conditions of a sale pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2001."); U.S. v. Heasley, 283 F.2d 422 (8th Cir. 1960) (finding that in the context of 28 U.S.C. § 2001(b), "the 22 matter of confirming a judicial sale rests in the sound judicial discretion of the trial 23 court ..."); U.S. v. Peters, 777 F.2d 1294 (7th Cir. 1985) (noting that 28 U.S.C. 24 25 § 2001(a) authorizes a court to direct the terms and conditions of the sale). Here, the proposed notices of sale provided by mail and publication on the 26 27 Receiver's website are reasonable given the extensive marketing efforts undertaken by the Receiver and the Broker, which efforts included extensive advertisement of 28

the Property in commercially reasonable and customary channels, and the Receiver 1 2 and the Broker's continuing efforts to market and publicize the sale of the Property and the opportunity to overbid. The Receiver submits that further mailing or 3 publication of notice and obtaining independent appraisals would impose significant 4 costs on the receivership estate with little or no corresponding benefit. Accordingly, 5 to the extent 28 U.S.C. §§ 2001, 2002 and Local Rule 66-7 require further mailing 6 7 or publication of notice, appraisals, or other procedures, such provisions should be 8 waived.

9

C. **Additional Relief**

10 Pursuant to its broad equitable powers with respect to the administration of receivership assets, the Receiver requests that the Court authorize payment from the 11 proceeds of sale of the Property, the valid liens, taxes, and any other claims on the 12 Property, subject to any objections to such liens, taxes, or claims by the Receiver. 13 14 The Receiver also requests authority to pay a total commission in the amount of 5% 15 of the final purchase price. Regardless of whether the ultimate buyer is represented by independent brokers, the commission paid will remain at 5%, and any 16 commissions to buyer's broker will be paid from those funds. 17

18 As explained above, Broker's commission is the lowest offered by competing, 19 comparable brokerage companies, and is fair and reasonable given that the sale of 20 the Property required considerable time and effort on the part of Broker. Based on 21 his extensive experience in real estate transactions, the Receiver believes that such commission is commercially reasonable and consistent with the real estate industry 22 standard for sales of similar commercial property, and should be approved by this 23 Court. (Donell Decl. ¶ 8.) 24

VIII. CONCLUSION 25

For the reasons set forth herein, the Receiver respectfully requests entry of an 26 27 Order approving and authorizing: (1) sale of the Property to Buyer or the highest

28

ORDER APPROVING SALE

and best bidder; (2) the proposed overbid procedures; (3) payment of the proposed
 commission to Broker from the sale proceeds.

	_	
3		
4 5	Dated: August 7, 2017	ALLEN MATKINS LECK GAMBLE MALLORY & NATSIS LLP DAVID R. ZARO
6		JOSHUA A. DEL CASTILLO
7		By: /s/ Joshua A. del Castillo
8		JOSHUA A. DEL CASTILLO Attorneys for Court-appointed Receiver STEPHEN J. DONELL
9		Receiver STEPHEN J. DONELL
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
	1085679.04/LA	-18- NOTICE OF MOTION AND MOTION FOR ORDER APPROVING SALE

1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
7			
8	UNITED STATES	DISTRICT COURT	
9	CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
10			
11	SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION,	Case No. 5:15-CV-02387-SVW (KKx)	
12	Plaintiff,	[PROPOSED] GRANTING MOTION OF RECEIVER, STEPHEN J. DONELL,	
13	V.	FOR ORDER APPROVING: (1) SALE OF LYNWOOD PROJECT; (2)	
14	ROBERT YANG, et al.,	OVERBID PROCEDURES; AND (3) REAL ESTATE BROKER'S	
15	Defendants,	COMMISSION	
16	YANROB'S MEDICAL, INC., et al.,	Date: September 11, 2017 Time: 1:30 p.m.	
17	Relief Defendants.	Ctrm: 10A Judge: Hon. Stephen V. Wilson	
18			
19	<u>OR</u>	DER	
20	This Court has reviewed and consid	lered the Motion of its appointed receiver,	
21	Stephen J. Donell (the "Receiver") for an	order approving: (1) the Receiver's	
22	proposed sale of the real property and imp	provements located at 3599 Norton	
23	Avenue, Lynwood, California 90262 (aka	3598 Martin Luther King, Jr. Boulevard,	
24	Lynwood, California 90262) (the "Property	ty"); (2) the Receiver's proposed overbid	
25	procedures to be used in connection with	the sale of the Property; and (3) the	
26	payment of the Receiver's broker's total be	roker's commission of 5% of the sale price	
27	realized for the Property. In considering t	he Receiver's Motion, the Court has also	
28	reviewed the Receiver's concurrently subr	nitted declaration, and the Purchase and	
		ORDER APPROVING AND AUTHORIZING SALE OF LYNWOOD PROPERTY	

Sale Agreement ("PSA") dated June 5, 2017 by and between the Receiver and his
 proposed stalking horse bidder for the Property, INI Investment Corporation
 ("Buyer"), appended as an exhibit thereto.

Having considered the Motion, the Receiver's declaration, the PSA, and good
cause appearing therefor, the Court orders as follows:

6

1. The Receiver's Motion is granted, in its entirety;

7 2. The Receiver's proposed sale of the Property, including the PSA, the
8 Receiver's proposed overbid procedures, and the payment of all commission(s) due
9 to the Receiver's and any affiliated or designated brokers, as described in the
10 Motion, are Approved and authorized;

3. The Receiver is authorized to sell the Property to Buyer, Buyer's
designee, or ______, the Qualified Overbidder, as that
term is defined in the Motion, who submitted the highest and best bid for the
Property at the hearing on the Receiver's Motion (the "Final Purchaser"), in
conformity with the terms of the PSA;

4. In accordance with the terms of the PSA and without limiting its terms, 16 17 the Final Purchaser shall purchase the Property on an "as-is, where-is" basis, without any representations or warranties whatsoever by the Receiver, his agents and/or 18 19 attorneys including, without limitation, any representations or warranties as to the condition of the Property, except as expressly set forth in the PSA. The Final 20 21 Purchaser shall be deemed to be solely responsible for its own due diligence, including but not limited to inspection of the condition of and title to the Property, 22 and shall be deemed not to have relied upon any representation or warranty of the 23 Receiver, except as expressly set forth in the PSA; 24

5. In the performance of his obligations pursuant to this Order, the
Receiver's liability in connection with the PSA and the sale of the Property shall be
limited to the assets of the receivership estate established in the above-entitled
action. Neither the Receiver nor his agents and/or attorneys shall have any personal

liability for claims arising out of or relating to the performance of any actions
 necessary to complete the sale of the Property as provided for herein;

6. Provided he obtains the written consent of the Final Purchaser, the 3 Receiver is authorized to amend or otherwise modify the terms of the PSA and any 4 other agreements or instruments reasonably necessary to effect ate the sale of the 5 Property as provided for herein, in the event that the Receiver determines, in his 6 7 reasonable business judgment, that such amendment or modification is reasonable 8 and necessary, will benefit the receivership estate, avoid the imposition of liability 9 upon the receivership estate, or is required pursuant to the terms of the PSA or any other amendment or modification thereto, provide that such amendment or 10 modification does not change the material terms of the contract, including the 11 identity of the Final Purchaser (unless Buyer elects to permit its designee to 12 purchase) or the purchase price paid for the Property; 13

14 7. The Receiver is hereby authorized to take all actions and execute all documents necessary to consummate and otherwise effectuate the sale of the 15 Property to the Final Purchaser, including, but not limited to, the PSA itself, any 16 17 other documents required to be executed pursuant to the PSA, and any related documentation, escrow instructions, or conveyance documents consistent with 18 19 selling and conveying title to the Property to the Final Purchaser. The Receiver 20 shall execute all documents necessary to consummate and otherwise effectuate the 21 sale of the Property as "Stephen J. Donell, Court-appointed receiver" or any reasonable variation thereof which clearly identifies the Receiver as a court-22 23 appointed receiver;

8. The Receiver is hereby authorized to execute and acknowledge a
 receiver's deed conveying title to the Property to the Buyer (the "Receiver's Deed"),
 substantially in the form of <u>Exhibit B</u> to the PSA, to effectuate the conveyance and
 cause the Receiver's Deed to be recorded on the date on which close of escrow

occurs pursuant to the terms of the PSA, or as otherwise approved by the Receiver 1 and the Final Purchaser; 2

9. The close of escrow ("Close of Escrow") for the sale of the Property 3 shall occur as soon after the entry of this Order as soon as reasonably practicable, or 4 as provided by the PSA; 5

The Receiver is hereby authorized to pay from the proceeds of sale of 6 10. 7 the Property, at the Close of Escrow: (a) all unpaid property taxes; (b) all other 8 closing costs and commissions that are the responsibility of the seller as set forth in the PSA and/or related final closing statement; (c) any out of pocket expenses that 9 the Receiver incurs in connection with the sale of the Property; and (d) any other 10 expenses set forth in the PSA that are the responsibility of the Receiver as seller 11 12 thereunder;

Subject to the other provisions of this Order, the net sale proceeds from 13 11. the sale of the Property shall be remitted to the Receiver within three (3) days after 14 the Close of Escrow; 15

12. Any licensed title insurer may rely on this Sale Order as authorizing the 16 17 Receiver to transfer title to the Property as stated in Paragraph 8, above;

18 13. This Court retains jurisdiction over any dispute involving the Receiver 19 in connection with the sale of the Property; and

20 14. A certified copy of this Sale Order may be recorded concurrently with 21 the Receiver's Deed or at any time before the Close of Escrow, provided, however, 22 that failure to record this Sale Order shall not affect the enforceability of this Sale Order, the enforceability and viability of the PSA, or the validity of the Receiver's 23 Deed. 24

25 Dated: 26 Hon. Stephen V. Wilson 27 Judge, United States District Court 28 ORDER APPROVING AND AUTHORIZING SALE OF LYNWOOD PROPERTY -4-

1	PROOF OF SERVICE
2 3	Securities and Exchange Commission v. Robert Yang, Suncor Fontana, et al. USDC, Central District of California – Case No. 5:15-cv-02387-SVW (KKx)
4 5	I am employed in the County of Los Angeles, State of California. I am over the age of 18 and not a party to the within action. My business address is 865 S. Figueroa Street, Suite 2800, Los Angeles, California 90017-2543.
6 7	A true and correct copy of the foregoing document(s) described below will be served in the manner indicated below:
8 9	NOTICE OF MOTION AND MOTION OF RECEIVER, STEPHEN J. DONELL, FOR ORDER APPROVING: (1) SALE OF LYNWOOD PROJECT; (2) OVERBID PROCEDURES; AND (3) REAL ESTATE
10	BROKER'S COMMISSION; MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES IN SUPPORT THEREOF; [PROPOSED] ORDER
11	1. TO BE SERVED BY THE COURT VIA NOTICE OF ELECTRONIC
12	FILING ("NEF") – the above-described document will be served by the Court
13	via NEF. On <u>August 7, 2017</u> , I reviewed the CM/ECF Mailing Info For A Case for this case and determined that the following person(s) are on the
14	Electronic Mail Notice List to receive NEF transmission at the email
15	address(es) indicated below:
16 17	 Zachary T. Carlyle carlylez@sec.gov,kasperg@sec.gov,karpeli@sec.gov, blomgrene@sec.gov,pinkstonm@sec.gov,NesvigN@sec.gov
18	Stephen J. Donell
19	jdelcastillo@allenmatkins.comMark T. Hiraide
20	• Mark 1. mraide mth@msk.com,kjue@phlcorplaw.com,
21	hitabashi@phlcorplaw.com,eganous@phlcorplaw.com
22	 Leslie J. Hughes hughesLJ@sec.gov,kasperg@sec.gov,pinkstonm@sec.gov,
23	nesvign@sec.gov
24	George D. Straggas George straggas@straggasdaan comuserab borghase@straggasdaan com
25	George.straggas@straggasdean.com;sarah.borghese@straggasdean.com, eric.dean@straggasdean.com
26	David J. Van Havermaat
27	vanhavermaatd@sec.gov,larofiling@sec.gov,berryj@sec.vog, irwinma@sec.gov
28	
	1032549 56/1 &

1	Joshua Andrew del Castillo
2	jdelcastillo@allenmatkins.com
3	David R Zaro
4	dzaro@allenmatkins.com
	2 SEDVED DV U.S. MAIL OD OVEDNICHT MAIL (in diasta mathed far
5	2. <u>SERVED BY U.S. MAIL OR OVERNIGHT MAIL (indicate method for</u> <u>each person or entity served)</u> : On <u>August 7, 2017</u> , I served the following
6	person(s) and/or entity(ies) in this case by placing a true and correct copy
7	thereof in a sealed envelope(s) addressed as indicated below. I am readily
8	familiar with this firm's practice of collection and processing correspondence for mailing. Under that practice it is deposited with the U.S. postal service on
9	that same day in the ordinary course of business. I am aware that on motion
10	for party served, service is presumed invalid if postal cancellation date or
11	postage meter date is more than 1 (one) day after date of deposit for mailing in affidavit. Or, I deposited in a box or other facility regularly maintained by
12	FedEx, or delivered to a courier or driver authorized by said express service
	carrier to receive documents, a true copy of the foregoing document(s) in sealed
13	envelopes or packages designated by the express service carrier, addressed as indicated above on the above-mentioned date, with fees for overnight delivery
14	paid or provided for.
15	Franchise Tax Board (FTB) Via U.S. Mail
16	P.O. Box 2952 Sacramento, CA 95812-2952
17	Internal Revenue Service Via U.S. Mail
18	880 Front Street
19	San Diego, CA 92101-8869
20	I declare that I am employed in the office of a member of the Bar of this Court
20	at whose direction the service was made. I declare under penalty of perjury under the
	laws of the United States of America that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed on <u>August 7, 2017</u> at Los Angeles, California.
22	an <u>ragase 77 2017</u> at 200 ringeres, camorna
23	/s/Martha Diaz
24	Martha Diaz
25	
26	
27	
28	
	1032549.56/LA - 2 -