Case	2:24-cv-07660-SPG-JPR	Document 109-1 ID #:4996	Filed 06/12/25	Page 1 of 3	Page
1 2 3 4 5 6 7	MALLORY & NATSIS 865 South Figueroa Street Los Angeles, California 90	tkins.com BEH (BAR NO. 1 Itkins.com K GAMBLE LLP , Suite 2800			
8	Attorneys for Receiver STEPHEN J. DONELL				
9	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT				
10	CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA				
11					
12	FEDERAL TRADE COM	IMISSION,	Case No. 2:24-CV		
13 14	Plaintiff,		SECOND DECL JEREMY K. LE OF SUPPLEME	UNG IN SU	PPORT
15	V.		<b>RECEIVER, ST</b> <b>RE: COURT'S</b>	EPHEN J. D AUTHORIT	ONELL, Y TO
16	ASCEND CAPVENTURI	FS INC et al	ISSUE A WRIT FOR RECEIVE PROPERTY		
17	Defendants.		_	ief of Receiv	er Stenhen
18	Derendants.		[Supplemental Brief of Receiver, Stephen J. Donell submitted concurrently herewith]		
19			Date: No Hearing Scheduled		
20			Ctrm: 5C Judge Hon. Sheri	lyn Peace Ga	Peace Garnett
21					
22 23					
23 24					
25					
26					
27					
28					
LAW OFFICES Allen Matkins Leck Gamble Mallory & Natsis LLP					

4926-2382-3181.1

## DECLARATION OF JEREMY K. LEUNG

1 2

I, Jeremy Leung, declare as follows:

I am a defendant in the above-captioned enforcement action. I submit
 this second Declaration in support of the Receiver's concurrently filed *Supplemental Brief Re: Court's Authority to Issue A Writ of Possession For Receivership Real Property* ("Supplemental Brief"). I have personal knowledge of the facts set forth in
 this Declaration and, if called as a witness, could and would testify competently to

8 such facts under oath.

9 2. I have reviewed what is currently docket entry 105 in this case, entitled
10 on the docket as "Email Filed By Non-Party Berlin Lu," appearing on the docket on
11 May 27, 2025 ("Lu Email"). The document appears to be an email with multiple
12 documents attached.

13 3. I am acquainted with the individual known and referenced in the
14 Supplement Brief as "Berlin Lu" ("<u>Lu</u>").

In the Lu Email, Lu states the following: "Jeremy offered his Venice 15 4. property for my mother and I to reside. In exchange, I paid rent in the form of labor, 16 17 renovations, and improvements to the property, as agreed upon with the landlord in lieu of cash payments." This statement is false. At no time did I agree that Lu could 18 19 reside at the Linden property. At no time did I enter into, negotiate, or discuss any 20 lease agreement with Lu or anyone to lease 2010 Linden Avenue. I did not grant 21 him permission to reside at the property, and I am not aware of any legitimate basis 22 upon which Lu could claim any tenancy interest in 2010 Linden Avenue.

5. The Lu Email includes a residential lease, starting at page 29. This
lease appears to have a signature attributed to me. I did not sign this lease. This
lease is fake.

6. There was absolutely no benefit for me to sign a lease with Berlin Lu
while myself and Will Basta were paying a mortgage on the property of a
significantly disproportionate amount. We have received absolutely zero money

LAW OFFICES Allen Matkins Leck Gamble Mallory & Natsis LLP

1	from him for payments to corroborate the lease. He is a squatter and does not have			
2	legal rights to live in the property.			
3	7. I am not aware of any legitimate basis upon which Lu could claim any			
4	tenancy interest in 2010 Linden Avenue.			
5	8. I ask the Court to give the Receiver a possessory interest 2010 Linden			
6	Avenue, as Berlin Lu is a squatter with no legal right to possess thus property.			
7	I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.			
8	Executed on			
9				
10	Jeremy Leung			
11	Jeremy Leung			
12				
13				
14				
15				
16				
17				
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				
26				
27				
28				
LAW OFFICES Allen Matkins Leck Gamble Mallory & Natsis LLP				